

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000100140008-3

6 November 1968

1. It's fairly easy to get rather accurate data on the quantitative aspects of the Agency's information processing effort. The list of data suggested for a Computer Application Registry or Control purposes is almost totally quantitative. The usefulness of this data to IPS would seem to be fairly limited. It will provide good insight into hardware utilization and a reasonably good estimate of manpower utilization. Current hardware and manpower utilization is a control element but not necessarily a prime control element. We know now for example, that the utilization trend is upward and that at some future point we will exceed our information processing capacity. The only thing the data asked for will provide is a more accurate estimate of when we will exceed capacity. This is important but not very effective problem solving.

2. It is my understanding that with the exception of items 4 and 12 these data are routinely collected and reported by OCS. A summary should suffice for most IPS purposes.

3. It would seem to me that IPS should be providing some leadership to the hard job of determining how to develop qualitative data for supporting management decision about Agency information processing projects. Until these guidelines are developed we will always be involved in an endless spiral of increasing demand and increasing capability.

4. The following proposals are presented for arguments sake but also with some conviction that they represent a reasonably productive approach.

a. Context

Information Processing only makes sense when examined from the customers (users) point of view. Support Directorate experience has been that users rarely identify a problem incorrectly. Their definition of the problem may not be complete and their solutions aren't always the best but after all is said and done the problem remains much as it was. Much of our present difficulty exists because the customer and the computer personnel focused on discrete problems and solved them. This separate and

this should be checked further.

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000100140008-3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000100140008-3

sequential approach to problem solving can only lead to a continuing upward utilization spiral. A management overview is required to ~~place~~ ^{PLACE} the problem in its appropriate context, provide an objective review of alternatives and recommend to management, not ADP management, a preferred course of action.

b. Priorities

So long as management, ADP management included, avoids some kind of ranking we will continue to compete among ourselves for resources. There is no simple way to develop priorities but until office priorities are developed into directorate priorities and directorate priorities are arranged into Agency priorities no reasonable resource allocation can be determined. The Agency 5 Year ADP Plan has established priorities and allocations which appear to be reasonable. What additional steps should be taken to make the Agency Plan an action document rather than an academic exercise? This would be a most appropriate question for the Information Processing Staff and the Directorate IP Coordinators.

c. Documentation

It goes without saying that Information Processing systems require good documentation. We tend to ignore the equally important requirement to document proposals for utilizing the Information Processing resource. The Agency 5 Year Plan calls for "complete and open disclosure of plans." What form should this complete and open disclosure take? In the Support Directorate we are attempting to provide the following kind of information:
documentation

- (1) A thorough analysis of the system.

This analysis in narrative and flow chart form identifies what the requirements are and what must be done to satisfy the requirement. We assume the validity of the requirement until completion of analysis. We do not specify how the requirement will be handled.

CONFIDENTIAL

(2) A "staff study" stating

Purpose or objectives

Relationship to on-going projects

Relevant facts (from systems analysis)

Discussion of alternatives

including costs

equipment

personnel

etc.

Recommended alternative

Time frame and target dates

5. Given these elements, context, priority and documentation we should be able to arrive at informed management decision. The important thing here is that these are not decisions made by Information Processing managers. The Information Processing Staff along with all others concerned with Information Processing should focus on how to provide Agency management with the capability for informed decision making. Requiring the kind of data reflected in the computer application or control registry does not move us in that direction.